AEROLITE PLATING

3441-00003

JOHN C. CRUDEN Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section DANIEL S. JACOBS Trial Attorney Environmental Enforcement Section United States Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 4 Washington, D.C. 20044 (202) 514-4076 5 **ENTERED & SERVED** MONTE N. STEWART United States Attorney APR 23 1993 SHIRLEY SMITH Assistant United States Attorney CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 100 W. Liberty, Suite 600 Reno, Nevada 89501 (702) 784-54389 RECEIVED 10 11 APR 2 1 1991 THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA DISTRICT OF NEVERS 13 _____BEPUTY 14 15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 16 Plaintiff, CIVIL ACTION NO. 17 CV-N-91-314 (HDM) 18 AEROLITE CHROME CORPORATION, 19 AEROLITE CORPORATION, CONSENT DECREE AEROLITE PLATING, AEROLITE COMPANY, ARTHUR THOMAS, 20 RICHARD ROMERO, MATHEW 21 THOMAS, ARMEN THOMASSIAN and MICRON INCORPORATED, 22 Defendants. 23 J. S. ATTORNEY, Reno, Nev. 24 25 RECTION 26 27

28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	[
3	ı.	BACKGRO	OUND .		•				•			•	•	•		•			3
4	II.	JURISDI	CTION	i	•		•		•					•	•	•	•		3
5	III.	PARTIES	BOUN	D	•				•			•	•			:	•	•	4
6	IV.	DEFINIT	TIONS.		•		•		•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	4
7	·v.	REIMBUR	SEMEN	T OF	RE	SPO	1SE	СО	STS			•	•	•	•		•	•	6
8	VI.	FAILURE	TO M	AKE	TIM	ELY	PA	YME	NTS			•	•	•.	•	•	•	•	6
9	VII.	- COVENAN	TON T	TO	SUE	ву	Pla	ain	tif	f		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
10	VIII.	COVENAN	TS BY	DEF	'END	ANTS	5.		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		9
11	ıx.	EFFECT	OF SE	TTLE	MEN	r; c	CON	rri	BUI	'I01	4 P	RO?	rec	TI	ON	ī.		•	10
12	. x.	ACCESS	TO IN	FORM	ATIC	ON.	•		•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	11
13	xI.	RETENTI	on of	REC	ORDS	5.	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
15	xII.	NOTICES	AND	SUBM	[SS]	ONS	S		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
16	xIII.	APPROVA	L OF	DECR	EE;	REI	EŅ.	rio:	и о	F	JUR	ISI	OIC	TI	ON	١.	•	•	14
17	xiv.	SIGNATO	RIES/	SERV	ICE.		•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	14
18																			
19																•			
20	·	Ĺ												-					
21																			
. 1																			

A. The United States of America ("United States"), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), filed a complaint in this matter on July 9, 1991, pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. \$ 9607, as amended ("CERCLA").

I. BACKGROUND

- B. The United States in its complaint seeks reimbursement of response costs incurred by EPA and the Department of Justice for response actions in connection with the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Aerolite Plating Site in Reno, Nevada ("the Site").
- C. The release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or from the Site has caused the United States to incur response costs, including but not limited to an emergency removal action and enforcement actions.
- D. The United States and the Settling Defendants agree and this Court, by entering this Decree, finds that settlement of this matter will avoid further prolonged and complicated litigation and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest.

THEREFORE, with the consent of the parties to this Decree, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

II. JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613(b). This Court also has personal jurisdiction

over the Settling Defendants. Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District and shall not challenge the entry of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

III. PARTIES BOUND

2. This Consent Decree is binding upon the United States and upon the Settling Defendants and their heirs, successors and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate or other legal status, including but not limited to any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter the status or responsibilities of the Settling Defendants under this Consent Decree.

IV. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

- 3. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Decree which are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree, the following definitions shall apply:
- a. "CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601 et seq.
 - b. "Consent Decree" shall mean this Decree.
- c. "Day" shall mean a calendar day. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day

- d. "EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental

 Protection Agency and any successor departments or agencies of
 the United States.
- e. "Interest" shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the Hazardous Substance Superfund established under subchapter A of chapter 98 of Title 26 of the U.S. Code, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), compounded (at EPA's option) on a daily, monthly or annual basis.
- f. "National Contingency Plan" shall mean the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA 42 U.S.C. § 9605, codified at 40 CFR Part 300, including but not limited to any amendments thereto.
- g. "Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by an arabic numeral or an upper case letter.
- h. "Parties" shall mean the United States and each and every Settling Defendant.
- i. "Past Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including but not limited to direct and indirect costs, that EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice on behalf of EPA have incurred in connection with this Site up to and including the date of the entry of this decree, plus accrued interest on all such costs through such date.
- j. "Section" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by a roman numeral.

- k. "Settling Defendants" shall mean Aerolite Chrome

 Corporation, Aerolite Corporation, Aerolite Plating, Aerolite

 Company, Arthur Thomas, Richard Romero, Mathew Thomas, and Micron Incorporated.
- 1. "Site" shall mean the Aerolite Plating site, located in Reno, Washoe County, Nevada.
- m. "United States" shall mean the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the U.S. Department of Justice acting on behalf of the EPA.

V. REIMBURSEMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS

4. Within 30 days of entry of this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants shall pay to the United States \$375,000 for Past Response Costs. All payments under this Decree shall be made by Electronic Funds Transfer ("EFT" or wire transfer) to the U.S. Department of Justice lockbox bank in accordance with instructions provided by the Plaintiff to the Settling Defendants upon execution of the Consent Decree. Any EFTs received at the U.S. D.O.J. lockbox bank after 11:00 A.M. (Eastern Time) will be credited on the next business day.

VI. FAILURE TO MAKE TIMELY PAYMENTS

- 5. <u>Interest on Late Payments</u>. In the event that any payment required by Section V is not made when due, Interest, as provided for in Paragraph 3, shall accrue on the unpaid balance from the date payment was due, through the date of payment.
- 6. Stipulated Penalty. If any amounts due to the United States under this Consent Decree are not paid by the required date, the Settling Defendants shall pay as a stipulated penalty,

in addition to the Interest required by Paragraph 5, \$500 per day that such payment is late. Stipulated penalties are due and payable without demand on the actual date of payment.

- 7. If the United States takes legal action to collect any payment required by this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants shall reimburse the United States for all costs of such action, including but not limited to costs of attorney time.
- 8. Payments made under Paragraphs 5-7 shall be in addition to any other remedies or sanctions available to Plaintiff by virtue of Settling Defendants' failure to make timely payments required by this Decree.
- 9. The obligations of the Settling Defendants to pay amounts owed the United States under this Consent Decree are joint and several. In the event of the failure of any one or more Settling Defendants to make the payments required under this Consent Decree, the remaining defendants shall be responsible for such payments.

VII. COVENANT NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFF

10. Covenant Not to Sue. Except as specifically provided in Paragraph 11, the United States covenants not to sue Settling Defendants under Section 107 of CERCLA to recover Past Response Costs as defined under this Consent Decree. This covenant not to sue extends only to the Settling Defendants and does not extend to any other person. This covenant not to sue shall take effect upon receipt by the United States of all payments required by Sections V and VI of this Consent Decree.

11. Reservation of Rights.

a. <u>General</u>. The covenant not to sue set forth in the preceding paragraph does not pertain to any matters other than those expressly specified therein. The United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Defendants with respect to all other matters. Except as provided in the preceding paragraph, nothing contained herein shall in any way limit or restrict the response and enforcement authority of the United States to initiate appropriate action, either judicial or administrative, under Sections 104, 106, and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604, 9606, and 9607, or any other provision of law, against Settling Defendants or against any other person or entity not a party to this Decree.

- b. <u>Specific reservations</u>. The covenant not to sue set forth in Paragraph 10 above does not apply, <u>inter alia</u>, to the following:
 - (1) claims based upon failure of Settling Defendants to meet the requirements of this Consent Decree;
 - (2) claims for damages to natural resources, as
 defined in Section 101(6) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C.
 § 9601(6);
 - (3) claims for costs incurred by any natural resources trustees;
 - (4) claims based upon criminal liability;

- (5) claims for response costs incurred by any federal agencies other than those specified within the definition of "United States" in this Consent Decree;
- (6) claims for injunctive relief or administrative order enforcement under Section 106 of CERCLA;
- (7) claims for costs incurred or to be incurred by the United States in connection with the Site that are not within the definition of Past Response Costs set forth in Paragraph 3.

VIII. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS

agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States with respect to the Past Response Costs or this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 111, 112, or 113, or any other provision of law, any claim against the United States, including any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States pursuant to CERCLA Sections 107 and 113 related to the Past Response Costs, or any claims arising out of response activities at the Site. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).

IX. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

- 13. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a party to this Consent Decree. Each of the Parties expressly reserves any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action which each party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a party hereto.
- 14. Settling Defendants are entitled to protection from contribution actions or claims as provided by CERCLA Section 113(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2), for Past Response Costs. Such protection is conditioned upon receipt by EPA of all the payments required by this Consent Decree.
- 15. Settling Defendants agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought by them for matters related to this Consent Decree they will notify the United States in writing no later than 60 days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim. Settling Defendants also agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought against them for matters related to this Consent Decree they will notify in writing the United States within 10 days of service of the complaint on them. In addition, Settling Defendants shall notify the United States within 10 days of service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment and within 10 days of receipt of any order from a court setting a case for trial for matters related to this Consent Decree.

16. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the Site, Settling Defendants shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the covenants not to sue set forth in Section VII (Covenant Not to Sue by Plaintiff).

X. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

17. Settling Defendants shall provide to EPA, upon request, copies of all documents and information within their possession or control or that of their contractors or agents relating to activities at the Site, including, but not limited to, sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information related to the Site.

XI. RETENTION OF RECORDS

20. Until 10 years after the entry of this Consent Decree, each Settling Defendant shall preserve and retain all records and documents now in its possession or control or which come into its possession or control that relate in any manner to response actions taken at the Site or the liability of any person for

response actions conducted and to be conducted at the Site, regardless of any corporate retention policy to the contrary.

- At the conclusion of this document retention period, 21. Settling Defendants shall notify the United States at least 90 days prior to the destruction of any such records or documents, and, upon request by the United States, Settling Defendants shall deliver any such records or documents to EPA. Settling Defendants may assert that certain documents, records, and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If Settling Defendants assert such a privilege, they shall provide the plaintiffs with the following: (1) the title of the document, record, or information; (2) the date of the document, record, or information; (3) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the subject of the document, record, or information; and (6) the privilege asserted. However, no documents reports, or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of this or any other Consent Decree with the United States shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged. If a claim of privilege applies only to a portion of a document, the document shall be provided to Plaintiff in redacted form to mask the privileged information only.
- 22. Each Settling Defendant hereby certifies, individually, that it has not altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed or otherwise disposed of any records, documents, or other

-12-

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

information relating to its potential liability regarding the Site since notification of potential liability by the United States and that it has fully complied with any and all EPA requests for information pursuant to Sections 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA and Section 3007 of RCRA.

XII. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

23. Whenever, under the terms of this Consent Decree, notice is required to be given or a document is required to be sent by one party to another, it shall be directed to the individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the other Parties in writing. Written notice as specified herein shall constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice requirement of the Consent Decree with respect to the United States, EPA, and the Settling Defendants, respectively.

As to the United States:

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044 [Re: 90-11-3-685]

As to EPA:

Carita Hall-Reynolds (H-8-3)
Waste Management Division
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

As to Settling Defendants:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

N. Patrick Flanagan III, Esq. Beckley, Singleton, DeLanoy, Jemison & List, Chtd. 50 W. Liberty, Second Floor Reno. Nevada 89501

APPROVAL OF DECREE; RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- If for any reason this Court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of any party and the terms of the agreement may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the Parties.
- This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for 25. the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Consent Decree.

XIV. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE

- Each undersigned representative of a Settling Defendant 26. to this Consent Decree certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to this document.
- 27. Each Settling Defendant has provided, on an attached signature page, the name and address of an agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on behalf of that party with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Decree.

so	ORDERED	THIS	222	DAY	OF	april.	19
						į į	

26

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. AEROLITE CHROME CORPORATION, AEROLITE CORPORATION, AEROLITE COMPANY, ARTHUR THOMAS, RICHARD ROMERO, MATHEW THOMAS, ARMEN THOMASSIAN and MICRON INCORPORATED, Civil Action No. CV-N-91-314, relating to the Aerolite Plating Superfund Site.

	4-	16-93	
Date:			

FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

JOHN H. CRUDEN

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section

Environment and Natural Resources
Division

U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

MONTE N. STEWART

United States Attorney

SHIRLEY SMITH

Assistant United States Attorney 100°W. Liberty, Suite 600

Reno, Nevada 89501

DANIEL S. JACOBS

Trial Attorney

Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division

U.S. Department of Justice

P.O. Box 7611

Washington, DC 20044

JOHN C. WISE

Acting Regional Administrator

Region IX

U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency

75 Hawthorne Street

San Francisco, CA 94105

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. AEROLITE CHROME CORPORATION, AEROLITE COMPANY, ARTHUR THOMAS, RICHARD ROMERO, MATHEW THOMAS, and MICRON INCORPORATED, Civil Action No. CV-N-91-314, relating to the Aerolite Plating Superfund Site.

FOR DEFENDANTS AEROLITE CHROME CORPORATION, AEROLITE CORPORATION, AEROLITE PLATING, AEROLITE COMPANY and ARTHUR THOMAS

Date:	JAN - 2	18-93 Orthur Thomas [Name/Address]
signed F		horized to accept Service on Behalf of Above-
	Name: Title: Address:	N. PATRICK FLANAGAN, III, ESQ. 100 W. Liberty St., Ste. 700, Reno, NV 89501
FOR DEFE	NDANTS RICH	ARD ROMERO and MICRON INCORPORATED
Date: _		[Name/Address]
signed P		horized to accept Service on Behalf of Above-
	Name: Title: Address:	

FOR DEFENDANT MATHEW THOMAS

Date:	1/28/93	[Name/Address]
	•	[Náme/Address]

Agent Authorized to accept Service on Behalf of Abovesigned Parties:

Name:

N. PATRICK FLANAGAN, III, ESQ.

Title:

Attorney
100 W. Liberty St., Ste. 700, Reno, NV 89501

Date: Feb. [Name/Address]

Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party:

Name: Title: Address:

FOR DEFENDANT ARMEN THOMASSIAN

Date: FEB. 18, 1883

Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party:

Name: Address: ERAN E. LEUCATY
ATTORIZE
Address: 832 WILLIAM JT.

Date: January 29, 1993

Date: January 29, 1993

Linkard V. Server Solver Solver

Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Parties:

Name:

Paul F. Hamilton, Esq.

Title:

Attorney-at-Law

Address:

577 California Ave., Reno, NV 89509